Characterisation of aquifer properties in data-scarce fractured rock aquifers – the Irish example

Taly Hunter Williams¹, Coran Kelly^{2,1}, Bruce Misstear³, Kevin Motherway⁴

- 1. Geological Survey of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland
- 2. Tobin Consulting Engineers, Dublin, Ireland
- 3. Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
- 4. Environmental Protection Agency, Cork, Ireland















A REFERENCE MANUAL AND GUIDE

VERSION 1 MARCH 2015





Dublin

e Atha Cliath

http://www.gsi.ie/ programmes/groundwater/ aquifer+classification



GEOLOGICAL AND HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING















Montpellier, France

congress







Trinity College Dublin Colaiste na Trionò.de, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin

Photo credits: Donal Daly











Trinity College Dublin Colaiste na Trionòide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin











Trinity College Dublin Colaiste na Trionóide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin



















September 2016

CORUM CONFERENCE CENTER

Montpellier, France

IAH

congress





Trinity College Dublin Colaiste na Trionóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin

- Groundwater storage
- Groundwater flow
- Contaminant transport











Trinity College Dublin Colàiste na Trionòide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin



ESTIMATING HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES



















Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin



Anisotropy and scale of heterogeneity (plan view)

10's - 100's - 1000's m



10's - 100's – 1000's m



Limitations of typical Irish pumping test data

- <u>analytical assumptions not met</u>
- relatively short tests
- declining or variable pumping rates
- unknown geology/ construction details
- frequently single well
- none/inadequate observation well data
- throttling of different fractures
- bias towards one single large fracture
- often only yield and specific capacity reported









DATABASE











- Scottish Aquifer Properties project & GSI borehole database used as a starting point
- Single row per borehole or test
- Headings arranged in logical groupings
- Fields provide for detailed summary of well & hydraulic testing, allowing best interpretation of results
- Summary tables for Transmissivity
 - by aquifer category and Rock Unit Group









Grouping	Content
RECORD IDENTIFIERS	Name, cross-references, location
BASIC INFORMATION	Depth, DTB, inflows, logs, hydrogeological setting Pathways, RUG, aquifer
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	borehole construction, screened/open interval, grouting
HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES	Test type, duration, SS/NSS, SC T, K, por, Sy, method, gradient, water levels











								Long Term /	
					Test Pumping			Current	Longterm
ł	Hydraulic Test		Test Duration		Discharge Rate	Pumping test	Steady / Non	Abstraction	Drawdown
	Туре	Test Interval	(hours)	Yield (m3/d)	(m3/d)	drawdown (m)	Steady State	rate (m3/d)	(m)

Specific	Specific	Specific		Specific	
Specific	Specific	Сарасиу		Specific	
Capacity Range	Capacity	Current	Specific	Capacity	Productivity
(m3/d/m)	Pumping Test	abstraction	Capacity_PV	comment	Class

Parameter_Est	Transmissivity		Transmissivity_co				
imation_metho	(estimated from	Transmissivity_Pre	nstant_rate_test	Transmissivity_re	Transmissivity_ste		Transmissivity_Q
dolgy	SC)	ferred (m2/d)	(m2/d)	covery (m2/d)	ptest (m2/d)	Transmissivity comment	uality

	Rock	Rock			
	Permeability	Permeability	Rock Permeability	Rock Permeability	Rock Permeability
Lugeon	Range (m/d)	(m/s)	mean (m/s)	mean (m/s)	mean (m/s)

						Static water	Pumping
Storativity	Specific Yield	Porosity	Site Gradient	Gradient comment	Static Water level mbgl	level (mAOD)	Water level (mOD)







Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin



- Aquifer parameters database
 - >650 bedrock data, >30 sand & gravel data
 - 55% pumping test data
 - 45% permeability or packer tests, mainly from
 Dublin or EPA high quality monitoring wells
- GSI Geodata well database
 - > 2,300 specific capacity data (Logan approximation), but not included in the primary database









- Biases in dataset
 - "high" quality T data tend to be from successful water supply investigations
 - "supplementary" data from smaller abstractions with less precise measurements
 - short tests can give overestimates
- Uncertainties in dataset
 - interval(s) being tested
 - influence of heterogeneities
- Number of data per aquifer type similar to area, but rock unit groups over/under-represented









SELECTED SUMMARY DATA











Best estimate T vs aquifer category



Best estimate: Geometric mean except for Rkc (arithmetic and harmonic)









T vs aquifer category









Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin





Statisticated Glochain Care

Transmissivity (m²/d)



Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin







	Transmissivity values for Rock Unit Groups per Aquifer Cateogory											
	Rk	Rkc	Rkd	LK	Rf	Lm	u	PI	Pu			
Rock Unit	No. Estimates (75,90,95 (5,25) percentile) percentile)	T m2/d Upper T m2/d Lower T m2/d Best No. Estimates (75, 90, 95 Estimates (5, 25 Estimates Geomean percentile) percentile) Geomean	T m2/d Upper Estimates T m2/d Lower T m2/d Best Vis. Estimates Estimates Estimates (75, 90, 95 (5, 25) percentile) Geomean	No. Tm2/d Upper Estimates (75, 90, 95 (5, 25 parcentile) percentile)	No. Estimates (7.9,9,9,5) (7.1,12) (7.1	T m2/d Upper Estimates T m2/d Lower T m2/d Best No. Estimates Estimates (75, 9), 95 (5, 25) Georman	No. Tm2/d Tm2/d Lower Estimates Estimates (7.5, 0.9, 5) (6, 25) percentile) percentile	No. Estimates (75, 96, 95 (5, 25) percentile) percentile) Tm2/d Best Estimates Geomean	T m2/d T m2/d Upper Lower Estimates (75, 90, 95 percentile) percentile)	T m2ld Best Extimate Geomean		
	Rk (18) 227 (95%) 30 (5%) 48 62 (geomean	Risc 96 (75%) 400 (90%) 740 (95%) 0.7 (5%) 3.7 (20 (25%) (25%) (geomean)	Rad (190) 930 (95%) 1.2 (5%) 10 (45 (Geomean)	Lk 66 (75%) (25) 185 (99%) 1440 (95%) (25%) (25%) (geomean)	Rf 85 (75%) (160) 245 (90%) 3 (5%) 8 30 (312 (95%) (25%) (25%) (Geomean)	Lm 50 (75%) 1 (5%) 20 (152) 200 (55%) 7 (25%) (geomean) (Li 28 (75%) (1210) 98 (80%), 2 (25%) 8 (geomean) 164 (95%)	Pi 18 (75), 90 0.4 (5%), (90-95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean) (2	Pu 20 (90%). 1 (5%) 20 (90%). 2 (25%) 22 (95%)	5.5 (geomean)		
Permo-Triassic sandstones						Lm 50 (75%) 1 (5%) 20 (132) 200 (95%) 7 (25%) (geomean)						
Permo-Triassic Mudstones and Gypsum								PI 18 (75), 90 0.4 (5%), (90-95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean)				
Westphalian Sandstones						52 (75%) 110 (50%) 5 (5%) 20 19 150 (15%) 8 (25%) (geomean)						
Westphalian Shales								P. C.	12 (76%), 20 (90%), 22 (95%) 2 (25%)	5.5 (geomean)		
Namurian Shales							43 (75%), 227 (90%), 400 (95%) 7 (25%) (geometan)	9 (75%), 56 (90%), 72 6 (95%) 4 (25%) 8 (geomean) (28	a 20 (90%). b) 22 (95%) (25%)	5.5 (geomean)		
Namurian Sandstones						55 (75%) 180 (30%) 14 (5%) 45 320 (55%) 30 (25%) (geomean) 55 (75%)	43 (75%), 134 (90%), 1.5 (5%) 32 465 (95%) 4 (25%) (geomean) 99 (764)	12 (75%), 18 (90%), 8 23 (95%) 3 (25%) 7 (geomean)				
Namurian Undifferentiated						Nu 05 (75%) (Lm) 180 (30%) 14 (5%) 45 8 320 (15%) 30 (25%) (geomean)	J 98 (90%). 2 (25%) 1210) 164 (95%)	PI 18 (75), 90 0.4 (5%), (392) (90-95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean)				
Dinantian Shales and Limestones						50 (75%), 135 (10%), 16 160 (15%) 14 (25%) (geomean) 60 (75%)	20 (75%) 35 (10%- 3 (5%) 12 9 95%) 8 (25%) (geomean)	PI 18 (75), 90 0.4 (5%), (392) (90-95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean)		T m2/d	T m2/d	
Sandstones, Shales and Limestones					11 (19)	Lm 150 (30%) 1 (5%) 20 (132) 200 (95%) 7 (25%) (geomean)	60 (75%) 2 (5%) 16 8 70 (90-95%) 5(25) (geomean)			T IIIZ/U	l mz/u	T m2/d
Dinantian Sandstones	125 (75%)	100 (75%).	215 (75%)	100 (75%)	No 31 (10 m) data 40 (90%) 4 (5%) 80 (95%) 12 (25%) 12	40 (50%) 9 80 (55%) 12 (25%) 12 (30 (75%)	J 98 (90%), 2 (25%) 1210) 164 (95%)		Ne	Opper	Lower	Best
Dinantian Pure Bedded Limestones	18 170 (90%), 30 (5%) 70 360 (95%) 50 (25%) 70	195 400 (90%). 800 (95%) 4 (25%) (geomean)	142 440 (90%), 1100 (95%) 14 (25%) (geomean)	using Rkc 00 (90%) 4 (25%) 21 000 (95%) 4 (25%) (geomean) 14 (75%)		40 (99%), 23 199 (15%) 3 (25%) 8 (permean) 66 (75%)	17.05%)		INO.	(75, 90, 95	(5, 25	Estimate Geomean
Limestones			100 (75%) 3 (5%)	10 70 (90%), 80 (95%) 1-2 (5-25%) 6 (geomean)		607(190%), 2 (5%) 25 50 200 (95%) 11 (25%) (geomean)	5 (100%), 98 100 (95%) 3 (25%) 8	(392) (90-95%) 0.4 (5%). 5 (geomean)				
Dinantian Dolomitised Limestones			400 (90%). 25 (25%) 0x 32 700 (95%) Lisheen (geomean) Lisheen 58 (3%), 242 260	32 400 (95%), 3 (5%) 52 700 (95%) 25 (25%) (geomean)						percentile)	percentile)	
Dinantian Pure Unbedded Limestones		47 200 (75%), 2 (5%) 55 630 (50%), 11 (25%) (geomean)	47 200 (75%), 2 (5%) 55 630 (90%), 11 (25%) (geomean)	47 200 (75%), 2 (5%) 55 630 (99%), 11 (25%) (geomean)			24 (75%), 50 (90%), 1.2 (25%), 115 70 (95%) 0.3 (5%) 6 (geomean)			200 (75%),	0.759()	
Dinantian Lower Impure Limestones					12 213 (75%) 600 (90- 95%) 20 (25%) 60 (geomean)	213 (75%) 7.5 (5%) 60 No 600 (50- data 20 (25%) (geomean)	30 (75%). 90 (90%). 0.36 (5%) 182 200 (95%) 2 (25%) 8 (geomean)		47	630 (90%),	2 (5%)	55
Dinantian (early) Sandstones, Shales and Limestones					12 97 (75%) 151 (90%) 450 (95%) 23 (25%) (geomean)		36 (75%) 96 (90%) 0.5 (5%) 12 31 120 (95%) 6 (25%) (geomean)	8 (75%) 11 (90%) 0.77 (5%) 16 12 (95%) 1.2 (25%) 3 (geomean)		3000 (95%	11 (25%)	(geomean)
Dinantian Mudstones and Sandstones (Cork Group)							13 (75%) 40 (90%) 0.6 (5%) 81 65 (95%) 1.8 (25%) 5					
Devonian Kiltorcan-type Sandstones					98 (75%) 316 (90%) 4 (5%) 37 39 720 (95%) 11 (25%) (geomean)	90 (75%) 316 (30%) 4 (5%) 37 39 720 (55%) 11 (25%) (geomean)						
Devonian Old Red Sandstones							11 (75%) 30 (90%) 0.65 (5%) 280 75 (95%) 2 (25%) 5 (geomean)	3 (75%) 7 (90%) 0.45 (5%) 49 24 (95%) 0.8 (25%) 2 (geomean)				
Silurian Metasediments and Volcanics							65 (75%), 150 (90%), 5 (5%) 17 9 166 (95%) 11 (25%) (geomean)	14(75%) 57 (90%) 0.4 (5%) 121 100 (95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean) (28	12 (75%) 20 (90%) 1 (5%), 2 6) 22 (95%) (25%)	6.5 (geomean)		
Ordovician Metasediments					60 (75%) 208 (90%) 3 (5%) 15 46 274 (95%) 4(25%) (geomean)		15 (75%). 34 (90%). 1 (5%) 93 56 (95%) 5 (25%) 8 (geomean)	14 (75%) 25 (90%) 0.2 (5%) 83 46 (95%) 2 (25%) 5 (geomean) 8	14 (75%) 25 (90%) 0.2 (5%) 83 46 (95%) 2 (25%)	(5 (geomean)		
Ordovician Volcanics				wextlond	79(75%) 151 (90%) 6 (5%) 37 60 235 (95%) 15 (25%) (geomean)	79(75%) 151 (30%) 6 (5%) 37 (60 235 (35%) 15 (25%) (geomean) (28 (75%) J 98 (90%), 0.5 (5%) 1210) 164 (95%) 2 (25%) 8 (geomean)	PI 18 (75), 90 0.4 (5%) (392) (90-95%) 1.4 (25%) 5 (geomean)				
Ordovician Volcanics				elsewhere	60 (75%) 208 (90%) 1 (5%) 15 46 274 (95%) 4(25%) (geomean)							
Cambrian Metasediments								27 70 (90-95%) 0.4 (5%). 1.6 (25%) 5				
Precambrian Quartzites, Gneisses & Schists								3.8 (75%) 6.3 (90%) 0.1 (5%) 1.2 62 9 (95%) 0.3 (25%) (geomean) 6	66 9 (95%) 0.3 (25%)	1.2 (geomean)		
Precambrian Marbles							16 (75%) 160 (95%) 0.6 (25%) 3	16 8 0.5 (25%) 3				
Granites & other Igneous Intrusive rocks						50 (75%)	(90%) 85 1 (5%) 2.4 44 (95%) (25%) 9 (geomean)	(90%) 17 (90%) 17 44 (95%) (25%) 0.7 (25%) 2				
Basalts & other Volcanic rocks						Lm 550 (75%) 1 (5%) 20 (132) 200 (95%) 7 (25%) (geomean) L	28 (75%) J 98 (10%) 0.5 (5%) 1210) 164 (95%) 2 (25%) 8 (peomean)					



>>>>>









Ω

γ









Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin



Summary

- Compiled >600 data, many 3rd party
 - Screening for quality, detailed 'paper trail'
- Issues with data
 - 'pseudo T', 'bulk K', fracture K, biases
 - obtaining data no legal framework
- Database is beginning of a useful reference for practitioners within a hydrostratigraphic framework
- Summary tables indicate typical properties and ranges
- Focus on transmissivity, more fracture K and storage parameters needed











A REFERENCE MANUAL AND GUIDE

VERSION 1 MARCH 2015





Dublin

e Atha Cliath

http://www.gsi.ie/ programmes/groundwater/ aquifer+classification



Transition Zone

The Transition Zone is the broken, weathered zone between the subsoil and competent, unaltered bedrock. It may be formed by **chemical** weathering, or **physical** processes, or both. It is **laterally inhomogeneous** and **may be absent** in places. It may have a different **permeability** to the subsoil and bedrock, and can act as a **significant pathway** for groundwater flow. In some cases, it may also serve to **attenuate** potential contaminants.

Katie Tedd, GSI Sarah Blake, GSI/GDG



















Cambrian quartzites and mudstones, Co. Dublin







Trinity College Dublin Colaiste na Trionó:de, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin



TZ Work Plan

- Outcrop mapping and further population of TZ
 Story Map
- Systematic logging and classification of TZ sections and bedrock cores
- Link TZ to hydraulic properties (e.g., geophysical surveys, field and laboratory experiments)















The Transition Zone Story Map

http://j.mp/groundwaterstorymap









Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Trionóide, Baile Atha Cliath The University of Dublin

