

Global-scale assessment of GW stress in TBAs under climate and water use change using the global hydrological model WaterGAP

Claudia Riedel & Petra Döll

Institute of Physical Geography Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany



COMPARISON OF CURRENT-STATE INDICATORS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT DIFFERENT CONCEPTS OF SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER USE



The assumption "GWR = renewable GW resource" is subject to critique:

"withdrawals are balanced by capture, as well as by storage depletion, and not by average annual recharge" (ALLEY & KONIKOW, 2015, p. 828) (Ground Water)

• Sustainable groundwater use, if

 $\Delta R_0 + \Delta D_0 = P$ (Bredehoeft, 2002) (Ground Water)

 ΔR_{0} , ΔD_{0} : Change in virgin GWR and GW discharge caused by pumping P: Pumping rate

- Water requirements of ecosystems and downstream users not taken into account
- New indicator: Human-induced change in GW discharge

$$GWSI = \frac{Dant - Dnat}{Dnat}$$



IMPACT OF CLIMATE AND WATER USE CHANGE IN TRANSBOUNDARY AQUIFERS



Ensemble Mean over 10 scenarios in 2050

Maximum value among 10 scenarios in 2050

Areal fraction of TBA country segments with an increase in NAg/GWRnat ≥ 20 %



- Areal fractions of TBA country segments exceeding a selected indicator level to capture GW stress at the regional (grid-cell) scale
- GW stress = projected changes in GW stress AND absolute levels of future GW stress
- Assessment of ensemble means (robust indicators balancing the errors of individual models) and maximum values (worst-case assessment to support a precautionary approach to adaptation to climate change)

