

# Bacterial community structure and antibioresistance in a Mediterranean Karst aquifer

**M. HERY,** V. de MONTETY, C. BATIOT-GUILHE, A. MASNOU, J.-L. SEIDEL, A. ALMAKKI, A. MOLINA PORRAS, X. DUREPAIRE, V. LEONARDI, E. JUMAS-BILAK, H. JOURDE, P. LICZNAR-FAJARDO







N°abstract 1595



### Mediterranean karst aquifer:

✓ Heterogeneous (capacitive/transmissive elements)
 ✓ Role in interconnecting surface and subsurface waters
 ✓ Major water ressource
 ✓ Living ecosystem vulnerable to anthropogenic contaminations

### Karst microbial communities:

✓ Karstic springs: diverse and stable autochtonous communities
 ➢ Other parts of the aquifer = « black box »

Antibiotic resistant populations

Emergence and dissemination of antibioresistance?







# Investigate the spatial and temporal dynamics of the karst bacterial communities

Total bacterial communities

>Antibiotic resistant populations

Is Mediterranean Karst a reservoir for antibacterial resistance?

**Karst microbial communities** 

Assess the relevance of bacterial community monitoring to investigate karst functioning (in combination with geochemistry and hydrodynamic)





### 2 Lez aquifer

#### Lez spring

≻ main outlet of the aquifer Arinking water supply for Montpellier mixing of waters of different origins







Adapted from Leonardi 2015



3m: An open EW fracture intersects the we P5

# Bri III



### Terrieu experimental site

- ➢Limestone outcrop
- **P5** : capacitive element (matrix/fracture)

>P12 and P20 : transmissive element (drain)

P12/P20

uifer





Oct. 2014 130 120 Piezometric level (m/NGF) 4 sampling sites: 4 sampling campaigns: 110 100 Apr. 2014 Lez spring ≻Apr. 2014: middle flow 90 ul. 2015 Sep. 2014: very low flow Gour Noir Sept. 2014 **≻**P5 ≻Oct. 2014: very high flow 50 **▶**P12 or P20  $\succ$  July 2015: low flow 40 juin.Iq oct. .73 0<sub>6C.</sub>.73 mars.14 mai.14 POUL IA oct. 14 nov. 14 Janv. 15 mars.15 avr. 15 juin-15 *in situ* measurements: **Chemical analyses: Microbial analyses:** ✓ Dissolved OM ✓ Total bacterial community structure: ✓ temperature √pH ✓ Major, trace elements **Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer** ✓ conductivity ✓ Dissolved gases (noble Analysis (ARISA) **√**0<sub>2</sub> ✓ ATB resistant populations : Culture on gases, CFC, SF6) media with ATB -> c-MIC50 Talk V. de Montety Karst Session, 28<sup>th</sup> 350 E-poster M. Erostate 1585

43rd

congress

25-29<sup>th</sup> September 2010

## Total bacterial community structure Spatial dynamic



- Discrimination spring vs boreholes (associated with distinct geochemical characteristics)
- Discrimination P5 vs P12 (waters with very similar geochemical characteristics)
- → Microbiology more sensitive to discriminate between capacitive and transmissive flow



### Total bacterial community structure Spatial dynamic

- October 2014 (flood event)
- P5 and P20: very similar bacterial communities
- ➔ contribution of surface water?



73,66 %



15,76 %

## Total bacterial community structure Temporal dynamic

- P5 borehole
- ➔ Stable autochtonous communities under middle or low flow
- ➔ Flood event : contribution of allochtonous populations originating from the surface?



72,66 %



# Antibiotic resistant populations Spatio-Temporal dynamic



- Level of ATB resistance P5 (capacitive) > P12/20 (transmissive) > Spring
- Higher level of resistance during flood event
- Resistance often higher than the clinical breakpoint



# Antibiotic resistant populations Spatio-Temporal dynamic

- P5 (capacitive)
  P12/P20 (transmissive)
  Spring
   clinical breakpoints
- Dissolved gas SF6 : proxy of mean residence time -> estimation of the proportion of recent and older water





# Antibiotic resistant populations



Dissolved gas SF6 : proxy of mean residence time -> estimation of the proportion of recent and older water



- Low flow -> « old » water in P5 associated with high level of ATB resistance
- Flood event: high proportion of « recent » water associated with an increase of the resistance (P20)
  25-29<sup>th</sup> (13<sup>rd</sup>)

### > Spring :

- Distinct total bacterial communities compared to boreholes (associated with distinct chemistry due to mixing of waters of diverse origins)
- Lower level of ATB resistance than P5 or P12/20 (but sometimes higher than clinical breakpoints)
- Capacitive part of the aquifer (water circulation slow)
  - stable autochtonous community (distinct from those in transmissive element)
  - higher ATB resistance than in transmissive element
  - stable autochtonous antibioresistant populations
- Flood event: influence of surface water (anthropogenic contamination)
  - Increase of resistance level particularly in transmissive elements
  - Unlikely to persist



### Conclusions

- Hydrogeological and hydrological conditions impact bacterial community structure and level of ATB resistance
- Bacterial communities relevant as complementary tracers
  - To better understand Karst functioning
  - For the monitoring of water quality
- Origin of ATB resistance in the Lez karstic aquifer:
  - Anthropogenic : contamination during flood events
  - **Environmental** : autochtonous resistant populations

### Perspectives

- Mediterranean Karst as a potential reservoir for antimicrobial resistance?
  - "Resistome": intrinsic resistance vs genetically acquired resistance
  - → Potential risk in term of resistance transferability and relevance in clinical epidemiology?



# Thanks...



### Students:

- Mickael Hardy
- Celia Roure
- Elia Laroche

### Field team:

- Remy Muller
- Fred Hernandez
- Pierre Marchand





### **Geochemical characterization**



- Discrimination spring vs boreholes
- ➢ Spring → higher level of mineralization (higher conductivity) reflects the contribution of water from a deep compartment (Caetano Bicalho et al., 2012)

43rd

congress

- Boreholes:
  - → geochemical feature typical of karstic systems (high [carbonate] and [Ca])
  - → anthropogenic contamination P12 > P5 (fecal indicator bacteria)6

# Cultivable bacterial communities



April 2014: middle flow Sept 2014: very low flow Oct 2014: very high flow July 2015: low flow

Lez biomass maximum under very high flow conditions

Biomass fluctuations during hydrological cycling differ according to the aquifer compartment.

Bacterial dynamics in P5 ≠ P12/P20





- 1 : april 14,
- 2 : sept 14,
- 3 : oct 14,
- 4 : jul 15.







avr-14
 sept-14
 oct-14
 juil-15

P5: high resistance to amoxicillin whatever the hydrological conditions
 Very high flow : higher level of resistance to Ofloxacine and Tetracyclin

P12/P20: lower levels of resistance than P5Higher resistance level during very high flow





### • Spatio temporal dynamics of ATB resistance

# c-MIC50 (mg/L)



